



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/774,070	02/06/2004	Stephen L. Buchwald	MTV-025.03	4811
25181	7590 07/08/2005		EXAM	INER
FOLEY HO	•		AULAKH, C	HARANJIT
PATENT GROUP, WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BLVD BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summer:		10/774,070	BUCHWALD ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Charanjit S. Aulakh	1625			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Fail Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ma	av 2005				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
الحــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 6</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· —	Claim(s) 1, 2, 4 and 6 is/are rejected.					
7)	_					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers	·	·			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
, _	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	•	nriority under 25 LLC C & 110(c)	) (d) or (0)			
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
۵).	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* 5	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	The state of the s	Johnnod dopido Hot 1006146				
_						
Attachmen	• •	,. <b>(1</b>				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate.			
3) 🔲 Inforr	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			
6. Patent and T	rademark Office	ini Cumman				
JE-JEU (R	Office Act	ion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20050706			
			5-0			

Application/Control Number: 10/774,070 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. According to paper filed on May 5, 2005, the applicants have canceled claims 3, 5 and 7-41 and furthermore, have amended claim 1.

2. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 6 are now pending in the application.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on May 5, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive regarding indefiniteness rejections. The applicants have amended claims to delete non-elected subject matter as well as to overcome prior art rejections. In regard to indefiniteness rejection, the examiner does not agree with the applicants arguments that these abbreviations are defined in the specification and therefore, need not to be defined in the claims. Why did they define variables Z, Ar and X in the claims since they are also defined in the specification on page 12? The claim language is critical and needs to be definite.

#### Conclusion

- 4. Rejection of claims 1, 2, 4 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is maintained for the reasons of record.
- 5. The obviousness-type double patenting rejection is maintained for the reasons of record.
- 6. All prior art rejections are withdrawn in view of amendments and persuasive arguments.

NEW GROUNDS OF REJECTION

Application/Control Number: 10/774,070 Page 3

Art Unit: 1625

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldschmidt ( J. Am. Chem. Soc. ).

Goldschmidt discloses nine different compounds in table 1 on page 2941. All these nine compounds differ from the instant compounds of formula 50 that variable X represents Chlorine (CI) or Bromine (Br) instead of Iodine (I). However, CI, Br and I are all halogens and therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to prepare the instant compounds by substituting CI or Br with I. It is also of note that in the instant specification, all the preferred embodiments disclosed have variable X as CI or Br ( see page 13 ) and there is not even a singe exemplified compound with variable X as I, OTf, OTs, ONf or OMs in the instant specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,070 Page 4

Art Unit: 1625

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charanjit S. Aulakh whose telephone number is (571)272-0678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on (571)272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,070

Art Unit: 1625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charanjit S. Aulakh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625 Page 5